



But this is still not right. If you look up the GHA of Deneb at 02h 05m 10s you will find the answer is  $359^{\circ} 51.9'$ , which means Deneb has not quite reached the meridian yet. When it crosses  $0^{\circ} 0'$  then that is what the GHA will be.

To get the right answer we have to make another correction that we do not have to make when computing the same mer pass time of the sun because we keep time by the sun and not by the stars.

The earth circles the sun  $360^{\circ}$  in about 365 days, which means it moves relative to the stars at the rate of  $360/365 = 0.0411^{\circ}$  per hr, which is about  $2.5'$  per hour (the value adopted by the almanac). If we could see the stars behind the sun, we would see that every hour the sun moves eastward through the stars by  $2.5'$ . Another way to think of that is every hour the GP of the sun moves west across the globe at a rate of  $15^{\circ}$  per hour, but every star moves a bit faster at  $15.0417^{\circ}$  per hour.

Since the stars are moving faster, our estimate using the sun rate will be too slow. The time we just predicted 02h 05m 10s assumes the assumed the GP of Deneb was moving at  $15^{\circ}/hr$ , so we converted the SHA to time and got 02 05 10 for the mer pass time, but if we do it right and use  $15.0417^{\circ}$  per hour we will get

Mer pass time of Deneb on Lon  $0^{\circ} 0'$  on July 1, 2010 = 02h 05m 43s UTC.

There is a 33s correction, which is just  $(2.5' / hr) \times (3h 18m 10s) \times (60s/15')$

Celestial Navigation Data for 2010 Jul 1 at 2:05:43 UT								
For Assumed Position:		Latitude		0 00.0				
		Longitude		0 00.0				
Object	GHA	Almanac Data			Altitude Corrections			
		Dec	Hc	Zn	Refr	SD	PA	Sum
	o	o	o	o				
MOON	339 20.4	S 7 35.7	+68 02.7	110.7	-0.4	15.0	20.5	35.1
JUPITER	307 34.0	S 0 08.7	+37 34.0	90.2	-1.3	0.3	0.0	-0.9
ACHERNAR	285 56.0	S57 10.6	+ 8 33.4	148.2	-6.2	0.0	0.0	-6.2
AL NA' IR	338 13.6	S46 54.3	+39 22.8	160.9	-1.2	0.0	0.0	-1.2
ALPHECCA	76 40.1	N26 40.8	+11 53.4	297.3	-4.5	0.0	0.0	-4.5
ALPHERAT	308 13.3	N29 08.9	+32 42.5	54.6	-1.5	0.0	0.0	-1.5
ALTAIR	12 37.6	N 8 53.8	+74 35.7	305.6	-0.3	0.0	0.0	-0.3
ANKAA	303 45.3	S42 14.6	+24 17.3	137.5	-2.2	0.0	0.0	-2.2
ANTARES	62 56.1	S26 27.4	+24 02.3	240.8	-2.2	0.0	0.0	-2.2
ARCTA	57 59.4	S69 02.9	+10 55.5	198.0	-4.9	0.0	0.0	-4.9
DENE	0 00.2	N45 19.0	+44 41.0	360.0	-1.0	0.0	0.0	-1.0
DIPHDA	299 25.6	S17 55.5	+27 52.2	110.4	-1.9	0.0	0.0	-1.9
ELTANIN	41 14.2	N51 29.3	+27 55.2	332.3	-1.9	0.0	0.0	-1.9
ENIF	344 16.6	N 9 55.5	+71 28.4	57.2	-0.3	0.0	0.0	-0.3
FOMALHAU	325 53.7	S29 33.7	+46 04.4	135.3	-1.0	0.0	0.0	-1.0

To check your answer in exercises like this, use the USNO AA page ( [www.usno.navy.mil/USNO/astronomical-applications/data-services/cel-nav-data](http://www.usno.navy.mil/USNO/astronomical-applications/data-services/cel-nav-data) ), which will give data like that shown above.

Again, we must stress that even though we can make such predictions, there is no virtue in doing so. They are not needed in any aspect of modern cel nav, which accounts for why we need to make special corrections rather than standard ones using given tables.

Instead, if you do happen to measure the mer pas time of a star and want to get your longitude from it, just look up the GHA of the star at that time and that is your longitude. It will take just a minute or two of work.